Case Study: Seafnesh

Carbon reductions at processing site







Objective and approach

At their site in Thailand, Seafresh have focused on reducing their operational costs by installing solar panels and replacing their biomass boiler. In turn, they have reduced the carbon footprint of the Vannamei shrimp they produce.





Reduce carbon footprint at site level and of final retail product (cooked shrimp)



Reduce operational costs at Seafresh processing site





Installation of solar panels



Replacing biomass/coal boiler with two fuel A boilers



Status: Completed

Activities **Feed** Hatchery Covers costs of solar **Farm** panels, installation Seafresh and maintenance 2 Primary 3rd party Processing Site energy Seafresh company 3 Purchase renewable **Seafood Sourcing** energy for lower than SeaFarms grid price Replacing inefficient biomass/coal boiler with two smaller fuel Secondary processor Blue Earth Foods A boilers Retailer

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

	Before intervention	After intervention	Emission reduction
Total emissions at processing site	8,536,000 kg CO ₂ e	4,769,000 kg CO ₂ e	-44.1%
Emissions per kg product at retail	13.5 kg CO ₂ e/kg product	13.0 kg CO ₂ e / kg product	-3.7%

	Detailed
emiss	ion data

Activity	Energy Reductions (annual)	GHG emission reductions (annual)
Solar panels	2,511,340 kWh	1,255,000 kg CO2e
Boiler replacement*	4,491,143 kWh	2,512,000 kg CO2e

^{*} Estimate derived from primary data as the boilers have not yet been in place for a full year.

Costs and Benefits

	Total investment costs	Annual savings	Break-even of investment	Cost per carbon reduction unit*
Boilers	153,881 USD	26,997 USD/year	5.7 years year	-0.0046 USD/kg CO2e
Solar panels	The energy company took up all investment costs for the solar panels	95,913 USD/year Fixed prices for solar energy are lower than grid prices	N/A	-0.076 USD/kg CO2e

^{*}Estimates based on actual data

Reflections



- Our strategy was to focus on the 'low hanging fruit', i.e.
 the primary processing stage as a way to build buy-in
 within the company and wider industry and start the
 process of reducing our footprint.
- Incentivised by the need to bring down energy costs, the Board engaged with a third-party energy company.
- The old biomass boiler presented challenges in biomass supply and an inability to run at a reduced capacity.
- Internal buy-in and mobilisation of Capex was based on demonstrating the dual benefits of carbon reductions and operational savings.



Challenges

Delays in receiving new boilers from manufacturers.



Learnings

- · Reductions in emissions often leads to reduced costs.
- If capital expenditure is a challenge, the third-party solar array model is a good option. Seafresh has now started a second solar project based on the success of the first.
- Increased efficiency is key in reducing emissions boiler output can now be tailored to production needs.